

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1-95 Advanction, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/943,108	09/943,108 08/30/2001		Michael T. Black	GM50035-D1	2328
25308	7590	09/30/2003			
DECHERT			EXAMINER		
ATTN: ALLEN BLOOM, ESQ 4000 BELL ATLANTIC TOWER 1717 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				MARTINELL, JAMES	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1631		
				DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>v</u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/943,108	BLACK, MICHAEL T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication on	James Martinell	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF1. - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, n ly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 e. cause the application to beco	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		I matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration	1.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c Application Papers	or election requirement	t.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).				
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application ha	as been received.				
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	50				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:				

Application/Control Number: 09/943,108

Art Unit: 1631

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities.

- (a) The application does not comply with the Sequence Rules (37 CFR §§ 1.821-1.825) in that there is no paper copy of the Sequence Listing in the file. Applicant is reminded to supply the appropriate assurances as to no inclusion of new matter and the paper copy being identical to the computer readable form for this application.
- (b) The specification is incomplete in that it does not end with a complete sentence on its last page (page 40).
- (c) Any claim to priority should appear in the first sentence of the specification (MPEP 608.01(a)).

Appropriate correction is required.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 9-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,284,515. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims are embraced by the broader instant claims.

Claims 4, 8, and 12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,284,515 in view of Dotzlaf et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,508,177). The nucleic acid constructs, vectors, and host used in the claimed methods embrace the nucleic acid constructs, vectors, and hosts in U.S. Patent No. 6,284,515. Dotzlaf et al (*e.g.*, column 3, line 45 through column 7, line 26) teaches the production of *S. aureus* proteins using

Application/Control Number: 09/943,108 Page 3

Art Unit: 1631

recombinant DNA methods in *E. coli* host cells. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produce the *S. aureus* proteins encoded by the constructs in claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,284,515 in the manner taught by Dotzlaf et al in order to make large

amounts of polypeptides.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Martinell whose telephone number is (703) 308-0296. The fax phone number for Examiner Martinell's desktop workstation is (703) 746-5162. The examiner works a flexible schedule and can be reached by phone and voice mail. Alternatively, a request for a return telephone call may be e-mailed to james.martinell@uspto.gov. Since e-mail communications may not be secure, it is suggested that information in such requests be limited to name, phone number, and the best time to return the call.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on (703) 305-4028.

PLEASE NOTE THE NEW FAX NUMBER

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

James Martinell, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1631